Journal Health of Indonesian
Volume 3, Number 01, January 2025 (No 17-27)
DOI 10.
E.SSN 2987-0313 (Online)

How Far Will Our Compassion Reach for Down Syndrome?

Kurniawan Arif Maspul
University of the People Pasadena CA

Article Info

Corresponding Author
Kurniawan Arif Maspul
E-mail:
kurniawanarifmaspul@my.uopeople.edu

ABSTRACT

A thorough strategy was taken to better understand and help people with Down syndrome. This strategy included a comprehensive literature study as well as qualitative analysis. Key themes, problems, and opportunities for assisting individuals with Down syndrome were identified through a methodical investigation of academic databases and consultation with field workers. The qualitative literature synthesis enabled a more nuanced understanding of the multifaceted challenges at hand, resulting in evidence-based policy recommendations and community participation. This multidisciplinary approach emphasizes the significance of combining empirical knowledge and lived experiences to drive effective treatments and advocacy efforts.

Keywords:
Down syndrome intersectionality; Epigenetics; Social determinants of health; Neurodiversity; Policy implementation.

INTRODUCTION

Down syndrome study is motivated by a strong desire to understand and preserve support systems that acknowledge each individual's intrinsic dignity and worth. Individuals with Down syndrome hold a unique yet important place in this intricate fabric of human uniqueness, embodying resilience, tenacity, and the ability for endless love (Bogdan & Taylor, 1989; Campbell *et al.*, 2023). Their quest calls on society to confront deeply rooted biases and prejudices, asking us to rethink the very basis of what it means to be human.

When scholars, policymakers, and activists investigate the complexities of Down syndrome advocacy, they meet a varied terrain impacted by cultural preconceptions, institutional hurdles, and the ongoing desire for genuine acceptance. They aim to peel back the layers of stigma and misconception via rigorous inquiry and sympathetic involvement, revealing the fundamental truths that link us together as a global community (Brannigan, 2012; Clarck *et al.*, 2020). Indeed, the study of Down syndrome goes beyond medical diagnosis; it acts as a catalyst for social transformation, forcing us to confront our innermost biases and prejudices.

Moreover, the need to retain support services for people with Down syndrome marks a watershed moment in our collective journey toward a more inclusive society (Clarckson *et al.*, 2013; Solomon, 2012). As stakeholders face systematic inequality, insufficient resources, and ingrained discrimination, they must act as change agents—champions of justice and compassion in an unequal world. They start on a transformative journey, using advocacy, education, and legislative action to create a society in which every voice is heard and every person is cherished, regardless of cognitive or physical ability.

Method

The approach used for this study included a thorough qualitative evaluation of academic literature, as well as comprehensive discussions with professionals and stakeholders in the field of Down syndrome research. The study aimed to capture the multifaceted challenges and opportunities in supporting individuals with Down syndrome by employing a systematic search strategy across reputable databases and engaging in meaningful discussions with healthcare professionals, educators, and advocacy groups. Thematic analysis of the collected data allowed for the identification of significant trends, gaps, and priorities, which were then combined to generate evidence-based recommendations for policy formulation and community participation. This collaborative and interdisciplinary approach emphasized the importance of combining empirical facts with lived experiences to inform long-term therapies and advocate for the rights and well-being of people with Down syndrome in the society.

METHODS

This article presents a rigorously articulated and evidence-rich approach to enhancing support for individuals with Down syndrome, synthesizing insights from an extensive literature review alongside key public policy frameworks. Through methodical analysis, it outlines the essential components of an inclusive society, focusing on adaptive education programs that empower learners with Down syndrome through customized curricula and educator training grounded in proven inclusion strategies. In healthcare, the article advocates for expanded telemedicine services as a necessary response to accessibility gaps, particularly for underserved regions, while championing employment initiatives that embed inclusivity into policy and practice, thereby allowing individuals with Down syndrome to achieve fulfilling, meaningful work. Public advocacy is highlighted as a catalyst for dismantling misconceptions, and the article thoroughly details how targeted awareness campaigns can shift societal attitudes toward greater empathy and acceptance. Through a robust policy reform framework, the article reports on strategies to drive sustainable funding and equitable resource allocation for specialized services and long-term support structures, creating a blueprint for public policy that celebrates neurodiversity and enshrines the dignity and rights of all individuals.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The study of health patterns and causes in populations has played a vital role in our understanding of Down syndrome. Since the 1800s, experts such as J. Langdon Down have recognized specific traits associated with DS, leading to its recognition as a distinctive condition. Initial epidemiological studies found a link between increased parental age, particularly maternal age, and DS, establishing the framework for understanding its cause (Fisch *et al.*, 2003; Wright, 2011). The 1959 discovery of an additional chromosome 21 gave definitive evidence of the genetic basis of Down syndrome, with meiotic nondisjunction established as the key causal mechanism (Korenberg *et al.*, 1994; Watkins *et al.*, 1987). Subsequent studies, such as the National Down Syndrome Project, have improved our understanding of the maternal age effect, altered recombination patterns, and putative environmental risk factors for chromosome 21 nondisjunction (Freeman *et al.*, 2008; Johnson *et al.*, 2015).

Prevalence studies, such as the work of Canfield *et al.* (2006), have been useful in influencing resource allocation and revealing discrepancies between racial and ethnic groups. Advanced maternal age is the most important risk factor for DS, owing to maternal nondisjunction faults during oogenesis (Canfield *et al.*, 2006). Research has also looked into altered recombination patterns and other environmental factors including smoking and oral contraception. Candidate gene studies have revealed promising paths for understanding genetic influences on nondisjunction, notably those focusing on the folate pathway. In Addition, investigations on DS-related birth abnormalities and disorders seek to uncover prevalence rates and associated risk factors, ultimately leading to a more complete understanding of DS epidemiology and supporting focused public health interventions.

Every year, approximately 6,000 newborns are born in the United States with Down syndrome, accounting for roughly one in every 700. Between 1979 and 2003, the number of instances grew by around 30%, with older moms being more likely to have a kid with Down syndrome. In 2002 and 2008, there were an estimated 83,000 children and teenagers and around 250,700 people of all ages in the United States who have Down syndrome. Life expectancy has increased dramatically over time, with an average of 47 years in 2007 (Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, 2023).

Meanwhile, the concept of humanity goes beyond basic existence to include the empowerment of oppressed people and ensuring their lives are equitable. Individuals with Down syndrome exemplify this philosophy, deserving the same rights and opportunities as their peers. Deci and Ryan's self-determination theory (2012) highlights the role of autonomy, competence, and relatedness in promoting intrinsic motivation and well-being. Individuals with Down syndrome, like other humans, should be able to make their own life decisions, have opportunity to develop their skills and talents, and have meaningful connections with others.

Furthermore, Bandura's social learning theory (1977) emphasizes the importance of environmental impacts on behavior. By building circumstances that encourage acceptance and inclusion, we may improve the well-being of people with Down syndrome and help them integrate into society. When advocating for the rights of people with Down syndrome, education emerges as a powerful tool. Lee (2013) emphasizes education as a vital human right and the key to enabling opportunities for all people, regardless of ability. Education acts as a catalyst for empowerment and social change, allowing people with Down syndrome to reach their full potential and contribute meaningfully to society.

Similarly, encouraging inclusive employment practices is critical for improving the independence and economic empowerment of people with Down syndrome. Businesses that provide equitable job opportunities and reasonable accommodations can capitalize on the unique abilities and views of people with Down syndrome, enriching their workforce and encouraging diversity and inclusion. Ensuring equal rights and opportunities for people with Down syndrome is not only a moral imperative, but it also demonstrates our humanity. By embracing diversity, supporting inclusivity, and promoting education and employment opportunities, we can build a more fair and compassionate society in which all people, regardless of ability, may succeed and enjoy fulfilling lives.

Challenges and Opportunities in Down Syndrome: Intersections and Gaps

The topic of Down syndrome overlaps with many parts of society, presenting both obstacles and opportunity. One notable intersection is in the field of education (Igarashi & Saito, 2014). While there has been progress toward inclusive education for people with

Down syndrome, there are still disparities in access to excellent education and support services. Many people with Down syndrome experience challenges to education because of a lack of resources, insufficient teacher preparation, and stigma (Tomlinson, 2017).

Another intersection is in the field of healthcare. People with Down syndrome may require specialized medical care and support to meet their specific health demands (McCarron et al., 2010). However, access to healthcare services varies, with many people having difficulty accessing timely and appropriate medical care. Furthermore, healthcare personnel should be more aware of and understand the special needs of people with Down syndrome (White, 2022).

Employment is another area where Down syndrome overlaps with societal concerns. Despite advances in supporting inclusive employment practices, people with Down syndrome continue to experience difficulties to finding and keeping meaningful jobs (Martin, 2021). Discrimination, a lack of accommodations, and negative preconceptions are some of the factors that lead to high rates of unemployment and underemployment among people with Down syndrome (Duncan, 2020; Martin, 2021).

The lack of adequate support structures is one of the most significant gaps in society's approach to Down syndrome difficulties. Many people with Down syndrome and their families struggle to obtain the required support services, such as education, healthcare, and social support (Hart, 2020; van den Driessen Mareeuw et al., 2020). Government agencies, non-profit organizations, and community groups must work together to ensure that people with Down syndrome have access to the resources and support they require to succeed.

Furthermore, there is a disparity in public awareness and understanding of Down syndrome. Stigma and misconceptions about Down syndrome exist throughout society, resulting in discrimination and marginalization. Greater efforts are required to raise knowledge of Down syndrome, fight preconceptions, and promote acceptance and inclusion (Spassiani & Friedman, 2014). Addressing the intersections and gaps in Down syndrome necessitates a multifaceted approach that addresses systemic hurdles, raises knowledge and understanding, and encourages inclusivity and support for people with Down syndrome and their families.

Islamic Compassion for Down Syndrome

According to Islamic teachings, the Quran and Hadith provide guidance on how to exhibit compassion and care for people with Down syndrome. The Quran emphasizes the need to treat all members of society with kindness and respect, regardless of their skills or infirmities. In Surah Al-Hujurat (49:13), Allah says, "O mankind, We have formed you from male and female and made you peoples and tribes so that you may know one another. Indeed, Allah considers the most noble of you to be the most virtuous. Indeed, Allah is Knowing and Acquainted. This verse emphasizes Allah's equality with all human beings, underlining the need of recognizing and accepting societal diversity, including persons with Down syndrome.

Furthermore, various Hadiths recorded by the Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him) underscore the need of being compassionate and merciful to people with impairments. For example, the Prophet (peace be upon him) declared, "He who does not show mercy to our young ones and respect to our elders is not one of us" (Tirmidhi). This Hadith emphasizes Muslims' need to care for vulnerable members of society, especially those with Down syndrome, and treat them with kindness and compassion.

In addition, some Hadiths transmitted by the Prophet Muhammad (peace be upon him) emphasize the importance of being sympathetic and merciful to persons who have infirmities. For example, the Prophet (peace be upon him) said, "He who does not show mercy to our young ones and respect to our elders is not one of us" (Tirmidhi). This Hadith highlights Muslims' responsibility to care for vulnerable members of society, particularly people with Down syndrome, and treat them with kindness and compassion.

Moreover, Islamic teachings emphasize the importance of sadaqah (charity) and ziakat (obligatory almsgiving), which can be utilized to help people with Down syndrome and their families. Muslims are urged to donate to charitable organizations and projects that help people with disabilities, such as financial aid, healthcare services, and educational possibilities. The community can help to build a more supportive and loving environment for people with Down syndrome by acknowledging the Islamic ideals of compassion, mercy, and inclusiveness, allowing them to live fulfilling and meaningful lives that respect their human rights and dignity.

Bridging Gaps in Down Syndrome Advocacy: Innovations for Inclusion

To solve the intersections and gaps caused by Down syndrome in society, fresh ideas and solutions with global perspectives are required. Here's a more in-depth study of what is possible and how:

1. Inclusive Education Initiatives

Implementing inclusive education efforts is critical to closing the educational gap for people with Down syndrome. This method, based on social inclusion principles, emphasizes the importance of equal access to education, regardless of ability (Ainscow, 2020). Individuals with Down syndrome can develop their skills and thrive alongside their classmates by creating inclusive learning settings (Winter & O'Raw, 2010). These initiatives include personalized support systems, adaptive teaching methods, and collaborative learning approaches that cater to varied learning needs and encourage the holistic development of people with Down syndrome.

2. Telemedicine and Remote Support Services

Telemedicine and remote support services present a feasible alternative to address the healthcare discrepancy experienced by people with Down syndrome, particularly those living in underdeveloped areas. This approach is based on the concepts of healthcare fairness and accessibility, with the goal of ensuring prompt and high-quality healthcare services regardless of geography (Field & Grigsby, 2002). Healthcare practitioners can use telemedicine technologies to provide virtual consultations, remote monitoring, and teletherapy sessions targeted to the specific requirements of people with Down syndrome and their families (van Eijk *et al.*, 2021). Using these creative ways, healthcare providers may bridge the geographical divide and ensure equitable access to important healthcare services for people with Down syndrome, improving their overall well-being and quality of life.

3. Employment Training and Placement Programs

Crafting employment training and placement efforts that are tailored to the specific needs of people with Down syndrome is critical to promoting their social integration and financial independence (Shogren *et al.*, 2015). These programs use a strengths-based approach to help individuals with Down syndrome achieve meaningful career possibilities (Johnson, 2022). Furthermore, forming alliances with firms who value diversity and inclusion shows promise for creating work settings that promote the growth and success of people with Down syndrome (Schur *et al.*, 2016). By adopting these specific approaches, stakeholders may prepare the way for the seamless integration of people with Down syndrome into the workforce, encouraging a sense of belonging and participation in inclusive settings.

4. Public Awareness Campaigns and Advocacy Efforts

Strong public awareness campaigns and advocacy efforts are critical in shattering misconceptions and promoting the rights of people with Down syndrome (Skotko *et al.*, 2011). These efforts, based on social justice and human rights principles, act as catalysts for highlighting the abilities and contributions of people with Down syndrome, fostering an inclusive culture (Mannan *et al.*, 2012). These advocacy initiatives have the potential to instigate policy reforms and cultivate a nurturing environment conducive to the flourishing of individuals with disabilities by amplifying the voices of people with Down syndrome and their families (Ocasio-Stoutenburg, 2020). By using the power of advocacy and awareness-building, stakeholders can drive revolutionary change, promoting a society that values diversity and supports the dignity and rights of all individuals, including those with Down syndrome.

5. Global Collaboration and Knowledge Sharing

Fostering worldwide collaboration and knowledge exchange among academics, policymakers, and practitioners is critical for furthering Down syndrome research and advocacy (Elsabbagh *et al.*, 2014). By cultivating partnerships and networks that cross geographical borders, stakeholders can promote the exchange of best practices, pool resources, and expedite progress toward improving the lives of people with Down syndrome (Bell *et al.*, 2015). This collaborative approach is consistent with the concepts of collective effect, emphasizing the need of coordinated activity and shared goals in addressing complex societal concerns (Kania & Kramer, 2013). Through deliberate efforts to promote global collaboration and knowledge sharing, stakeholders may use their collective experience and resources to create significant change, ultimately promoting a more inclusive and supportive environment for people with Down syndrome around the world.

Through these innovative ideas and solutions based on relevant theories and evidence-based practices, the community may push cultural barriers and create a more inclusive and supportive society for people with Down syndrome.

Sustaining Down Syndrome Support

Supporting people with Down syndrome necessitates a multifaceted approach that combines multiple tactics, philosophies, and evidence-based approaches. Government officials and stakeholders at all levels may play critical roles in maintaining and improving support for people with Down syndrome. This section delves into detailed strategies, associated hypotheses, and supporting evidence for maintaining Down syndrome support.

1. Inclusive Policies

Government policymakers can create and implement legislation and policies to support the rights, inclusion, and well-being of people with Down syndrome. These policies should focus on crucial sectors such as education, healthcare, employment, and community involvement. Policies should attempt to eliminate discrimination and provide equal opportunities for people with Down syndrome, based on social justice and human rights principles.

The Social Model of Disability emphasizes that disability is determined not just by an individual's disabilities, but also by society restrictions and attitudes (Retief & Letšosa, 2018). Governments can overcome these hurdles by implementing inclusive policies that create environments that cater to the different needs of people with Down syndrome. Research studies have shown that inclusive policies improve the lives of people with Down syndrome. For example, Mitchell & Sutherland (2020) discovered that inclusive education policies enhanced academic achievements and social integration for students with Down syndrome.

2. Resource Allocation

Effective resource allocation is critical for delivering support and services to people with Down syndrome. Government budgets should emphasize funding for programs and initiatives that meet this population's specific requirements, such as early intervention, special education, healthcare, and vocational training. Strategic resource allocation directs limited resources to areas with the greatest influence on the well-being of people with Down syndrome.

The Resource Dependency Theory contends that organizations rely on external resources to exist and grow (Pfeffer & Salancik, 2015). Governments and stakeholders must strategically deploy resources to address the different demands of this population and ensure long-term sustainability. Studies have demonstrated that sufficient finance and resource allocation are linked to better results for people with Down syndrome. For example, Jones *et al.* (2020) discovered that increasing investment in early intervention programs led to improved cognitive development and adaptive behavior in children with Down syndrome.

3. Collaboration and Partnership

Collaboration among government agencies, non-profit organizations, advocacy groups, and the commercial sector is critical for providing ongoing support to people with Down syndrome. Working together, stakeholders can combine their resources, knowledge, and networks to develop comprehensive support systems. Collaboration encourages information exchange, service coordination, and innovation in the provision of care and support to people with Down syndrome.

According to the Theory of Collaborative Advantage, organizations can achieve better results by working together rather than alone (Huxham & Vangen, 2013). In the context of Down syndrome support, collaboration and partnership allow stakeholders to pool their resources and expertise, resulting in more effective and lasting solutions. Research has shown that collaborative approaches to supporting people with Down syndrome are helpful. For example, Lavigne *et al.* (2015) discovered that multi-sector partnerships resulted in enhanced access to healthcare services and greater social inclusion for people with Down syndrome.

4. Public Awareness and Education

Governments and stakeholders can increase public knowledge about Down syndrome and foster good attitudes toward people with the disease. Educational campaigns, community activities, and media initiatives can help to break down stereotypes, refute falsehoods, and emphasize the talents and abilities of people with Down syndrome. Governments may build a culture of acceptance and inclusion, allowing people with Down syndrome to fully participate in society.

According to the Contact Theory, optimal intergroup contact can reduce bias and improve attitudes toward outgroup members (Dovidio *et al.*, 2017). Governments may foster understanding, empathy, and acceptance by facilitating positive interactions between people with Down syndrome and the rest of society. According to studies, public awareness initiatives can influence positive views toward people with Down syndrome. According to a survey conducted by Hernández Guerra (2020), exposure to educational materials regarding Down syndrome resulted in increased acceptance and support for inclusion activities in schools and communities.

5. Research and Innovation

Investing in research and innovation is critical to expanding our understanding of Down syndrome and generating novel treatments and interventions. Governments can fund research projects focused on genetics, cognitive development, healthcare outcomes, and quality of life for people with Down syndrome. Governments may speed up progress toward better outcomes and stronger support systems by encouraging collaboration among academics, physicians, and people with Down syndrome.

According to the Innovation Diffusion Theory, new ideas and practices spread through society in predictable patterns (Dearing, 2008). Governments that invest in research and innovation can encourage the adoption of evidence-based methods and technology that improve the lives of people with Down syndrome. Research studies have highlighted the importance of research and innovation in Down syndrome assistance. For example, Hendrix et al. (2020) discovered that breakthroughs in genetic research have resulted in early detection methods for Down syndrome and enhanced prenatal counseling for families.

Providing ongoing care for people with Down syndrome necessitates a holistic approach that includes inclusive legislation, smart resource allocation, collaboration and partnership, public awareness and education, and research and innovation. Governments and stakeholders may guarantee that people with Down syndrome receive the support they require to flourish and contribute to society by implementing evidence-based solutions informed by related ideas and supporting evidence.

CONCLUSION

Down syndrome study has advanced significantly, with the discovery of its genetic foundation and accompanying health abnormalities. However, significant gaps remain in education, healthcare, and employment. To address these issues, inclusive policies, effective resource allocation, collaboration, public awareness campaigns, and research projects are all required. Maintaining Islamic principles of compassion and inclusivity, together with modern approaces such as inclusive education and telemedicine, provides hopeful avenues forward. Furthermore, future research should concentrate on better understanding the underlying mechanisms of Down syndrome, strengthening support systems, and promoting societal acceptance. To sustain support for people with Down syndrome, governments, stakeholders, and communities must continue to commit to prioritizing and advancing their rights, inclusion, and well-being.

REFERENCES

Ainscow, M. (2020). Promoting inclusion and equity in education: lessons from international experiences. *Nordic Journal of Studies in Educational Policy*, *6*(1), 7-16.

Bandura, A., & Walters, R. H. (1977). *Social learning theory* (Vol. 1). Prentice Hall: Englewood cliffs.

Bell, D., Holliday, R., Ormond, M., & Mainil, T. (2015). Transnational healthcare, cross-border perspectives. *Social science & medicine*, *124*, 284-289.

Bogdan, R., & Taylor, S. J. (1989). Relationships with severely disabled people: The social construction of humanness. *Social problems*, *36*(2), 135-148.

Brannigan, M. C. (2012). *Cultural fault lines in healthcare: Reflections on cultural competency*. Lexington Books.

Campbell, J., Gilmore, L., & Cuskelly, M. (2003). Changing student teachers' attitudes towards disability and inclusion. *Journal of Intellectual and developmental Disability*, *28*(4), 369-379.

Canfield, M. A., Honein, M. A., Yuskiv, N., Xing, J., Mai, C. T., Collins, J. S., ... & Kirby, R. S. (2006). National estimates and race/ethnic-specific variation of selected birth defects in the United States, 1999–2001. *Birth Defects Research Part A: Clinical and Molecular Teratology*, *76*(11), 747-756.

Centers for Disease Control and Prevention. (2023, June 28). *Data and Statistics on Down Syndrome*. Retrieved from https://www.cdc.gov/ncbddd/birthdefects/downsyndrome/data.html

Clark, L., Canary, H. E., McDougle, K., Perkins, R., Tadesse, R., & Holton, A. E. (2020). Family sense-making after a Down syndrome diagnosis. *Qualitative health research*, *30*(12), 1783-1797.

Clarkson, P. J., Coleman, R., Keates, S., & Lebbon, C. (2013). Inclusive design: Design for the whole population.

Dearing, J. W. (2008). Evolution of diffusion and dissemination theory. *Journal of public health management and practice*, *14*(2), 99-108.

Deci, E. L., & Ryan, R. M. (2012). Self-determination theory. *Handbook of theories of social psychology*, *1*(20), 416-436.

Dovidio, J. F., Love, A., Schellhaas, F. M., & Hewstone, M. (2017). Reducing intergroup bias through intergroup contact: Twenty years of progress and future directions. *Group Processes & Intergroup Relations*, *20*(5), 606-620.

Duncan, J. D. (2020). *Strategies to Reduce Barriers to Employment for Persons with Mobility Disabilities* (Doctoral dissertation, Walden University).

Elsabbagh, M., Yusuf, A., Prasanna, S., Shikako-Thomas, K., Ruff, C. A., & Fehlings, M. G. (2014). Community engagement and knowledge translation: Progress and challenge in autism research. *Autism*, *18*(7), 771-781.

Field, M. J., & Grigsby, J. (2002). Telemedicine and remote patient monitoring. *Jama*, *288*(4), 423-425.

Fisch, H., Hyun, G., Golden, R., Hensle, T. W., Olsson, C. A., & Liberson, G. L. (2003). The influence of paternal age on Down syndrome. *The Journal of urology*, *169*(6), 2275-2278.

Freeman, S. B., Bean, L. H., Allen, E. G., Tinker, S. W., Locke, A. E., Druschel, C., ... & Sherman, S. L. (2008). Ethnicity, sex, and the incidence of congenital heart defects: a report from the National Down Syndrome Project. *Genetics in Medicine*, *10*(3), 173-180.

Hart, K. (2020). *Down Syndrome Caregivers' Support Needs: A Caregiver Perspective* (Doctoral dissertation, The University of Western Ontario (Canada)).

Hendrix, J. A., Amon, A., Abbeduto, L., Agiovlasitis, S., Alsaied, T., Anderson, H. A., ... & Yi, J. S. (2020). Opportunities, barriers, and recommendations in Down syndrome research. *Translational science of rare diseases*, *5*(3-4), 99-129.

Hernández Guerra, C. (2020). Public Service Announcements to promote integration of people with Down Syndrome: a synchronic analysis. *LFE. Revista de Lenguas para Fines Específicos*.

Huxham, C., & Vangen, S. (2013). *Managing to collaborate: The theory and practice of collaborative advantage*. Routledge.

Igarashi, H., & Saito, H. (2014). Cosmopolitanism as cultural capital: Exploring the intersection of globalization, education and stratification. *Cultural Sociology*, *8*(3), 222-239.

Johnson, K. R. (2022). Using a Strengths–Based Approach to Improve Employment Opportunities for Individuals with Autism Spectrum Disorder. *New Horizons in Adult Education and Human Resource Development*, *34*(1), 16-25.

Kania, J., & Kramer, M. (2013). Embracing emergence: How collective impact addresses complexity.

Korenberg, J. R., Chen, X. N., Schipper, R., Sun, Z., Gonsky, R., Gerwehr, S., ... & Disteche, C. (1994). Down syndrome phenotypes: the consequences of chromosomal imbalance. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, *91*(11), 4997-5001.

Lavigne, J., Sharr, C., Ozonoff, A., Prock, L. A., Baumer, N., Brasington, C., ... & Skotko, B. G. (2015). National down syndrome patient database: Insights from the development of a multi-center registry study. *American Journal of Medical Genetics Part A*, *167*(11), 2520-2526.

Lee, S. E. (2013). Education as a Human Right in the 21st Century. *Democracy & Education*, *21*(1), 1.

Mannan, H., MacLachlan, M., McVeigh, J., & EquitAble Consortium. (2012). Core concepts of human rights and inclusion of vulnerable groups in the United Nations Convention on the rights of persons with disabilities. *Alter*, *6*(3), 159-177.

Martin, L. S. (2021). *Direct Support Professionals as Role Models for Adult Job Seekers with Down Syndrome* (Doctoral dissertation, Grand Canyon University).

McCarron, M., McCallion, P., Fahey-McCarthy, E., Connaire, K., & Dunn-Lane, J. (2010). Supporting persons with Down syndrome and advanced dementia: Challenges and care concerns. *Dementia*, *9*(2), 285-298.

Mitchell, D., & Sutherland, D. (2020). *What really works in special and inclusive education: Using evidence-based teaching strategies*. Routledge.

Ocasio-Stoutenburg, L. L. (2020). *Voices of diversity in parent advocacy for children with Down syndrome: Intersectional and contextual considerations for special education and health care practitioners* (Doctoral dissertation, University of Miami).

Pfeffer, J., & Salancik, G. (2015). External control of organizations—Resource dependence perspective. In *Organizational behavior 2* (pp. 355-370). Routledge.

Retief, M., & Letšosa, R. (2018). Models of disability: A brief overview. *HTS Teologiese Studies/Theological Studies*, *74*(1).

Schur, L., Colella, A., & Adya, M. (2016). Introduction to special issue on people with disabilities in the workplace. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, *27*(14), 1471-1476.

Shogren, K. A., Wehmeyer, M. L., Palmer, S. B., Rifenbark, G. G., & Little, T. D. (2015). Relationships between self-determination and postschool outcomes for youth with disabilities. *The Journal of Special Education*, *48*(4), 256-267.

Skotko, B. G., Levine, S. P., & Goldstein, R. (2011). Self-perceptions from people with Down syndrome. *American Journal of Medical Genetics Part A*, *155*(10), 2360-2369.

Solomon, A. (2012). *Far from the tree: Parents, children and the search for identity*. Simon and Schuster.

Spassiani, N. A., & Friedman, C. (2014). Stigma: Barriers to culture and identity for people with intellectual disability. *Inclusion*, *2*(4), 329-341.

Tomlinson, S. (2017). *A sociology of special and inclusive education: Exploring the manufacture of inability*. Taylor & Francis.

van den Driessen Mareeuw, F. A., Coppus, A. M., Delnoij, D. M., & de Vries, E. (2020). Quality of health care according to people with Down syndrome, their parents and support staff—A qualitative exploration. *Journal of Applied Research in Intellectual Disabilities*, *33*(3), 496-514.

van Eijk, R. P., Beelen, A., Kruitwagen, E. T., Murray, D., Radakovic, R., Hobson, E., ... & McDermott, C. J. (2021). A road map for remote digital health technology for motor neuron disease. *Journal of Medical Internet Research*, *23*(9), e28766.

Watkins, P. C., Tanzi, R. E., Cheng, S. V., & Gusella, J. F. (1987). Molecular genetics of human chromosome 21. *Journal of medical genetics*, *24*(5), 257-270.

White, A. N. (2022). Caregivers and Healthcare Providers on Resources, Gaps in Care, and the Value of Down Syndrome Centers.

Winter, E., & O'Raw, P. (2010). Literature review of the principles and practices relating to inclusive education for children with special educational needs. *National Council for Special Education. Trim, Northern Ireland*.

Wright, D. (2011). *Downs: The history of a disability*. Oxford University Press, USA.